An Assessment of Participatory Integrated Vector Management for Malaria Control in Kenya.

Clifford Maina Mutero¹; Charles Mbogo³; Joseph Mwangangi³; **Susan Imbahale***⁴; Lydia Kibe³; Benedict Orindi⁵; Melaku Girma¹; Annah Njui¹; Wilber Lwande¹; Hippolyte Affognon¹; Charity Gichuki⁶ & Wolfgang Richard Mukabana⁷.

¹International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology, Nairobi, Kenya;

²University of Pretoria Centre for Sustainable Malaria Control,

School of Health Systems and Public Health, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa;

³Kenya Medical Research Institute, Kilifi, Kenya;

^{4*}Department of Applied and Technical Biology., Technical University of Kenya, ⁵School of Public Health, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium;

⁶The Presbyterian University of East Africa, Nairobi, Kenya; ⁷School of Biological Sciences, University of Nairobi, Nairobi, Kenya

Abstract:

Background: The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends integrated vector management (IVM) as a strategy to improve and sustain malaria vector control. However, this approach has not been widely adopted.

Objectives: We comprehensively assessed experiences and findings on IVM in Kenya with a view to sharing lessons that might promote its wider application.

Methods: The assessment used information from a qualitative external evaluation of two malaria IVM projects implemented between 2006 and 2011 and an analysis of their accumulated entomological and malaria case data. The project sites were Malindi and Nyabondo, located in coastal and western Kenya, respectively. The assessment focused on implementation of five key elements of IVM: integration of vector control methods, evidence-based decision making, intersectoral collaboration, advocacy and social mobilization, and capacity building.

Results: IVM was more successfully implemented in Malindi than in Nyabondo owing to greater community participation and multistakeholder engagement. There was a significant decline in the proportion of malaria cases among children admitted to Malindi Hospital, from 23.7% in 2006 to 10.47% in 2011 (p < 0.001). However, the projects' operational research methodology did not allow statistical attribution of the decline in malaria and malaria vectors to specific IVM interventions or other factors.

Conclusions: Sustaining IVM is likely to require strong participation and support from multiple actors, including community-based groups, non-governmental organizations, international and national research institutes, and various government ministries. A cluster-randomized controlled trial would be essential to quantify the effectiveness and impact of specific IVM interventions, alone or in combination.

Environmental Health Perspectives Vol. 123 pp1145-1151(2015)

See more at: https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/wpcontent/uploads/123/11/ehp.1408748.alt.pdf